STATE OFFICE FILLE COPY

CLASSIFICATION AND CORRELATION

OF

THE SOILS OF

LA PORTE COUNTY INDIANA

FEBRUARY 1979



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
MIDWEST TECHNICAL SERVICE CENTER
LINCOLN, NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Soil Conservation Service Midwest Technical Service Center Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

> Classification and Correlation of the Soils of La Porte County, Indiana

The final correlation was prepared by Robert I. Turner at the Midwest Technical Service Center in Lincoln, Nebraska.

Major work was done during the period of March 20-24, 1978, although Indiana representatives did not attend during this period. conferences were held with Franklin Furr, Party Leader, Frank Sanders, Assistant State Soil Scientist for Indiana, during the period of January 1977, while they were at the Technical Service Center. Other conferences were held with Frank Sanders while he was at the MTSC in April and by telephone at various other times. The final correlation is based on the first draft of sections of the manuscript, the field correlation, final field review, correlation samples, some laboratory data, and interpretative information available with the standard series descriptions for the series used in this county. Robert I. Turner participated in the progress field review during the period of September 20-24, 1976. The halftone positive mylars to which the field mapping was transferred are considered the field sheets for this survey. A draft of the final correlation was reviewed by the SCS and the cooperating agencies in Indiana before it was approved and distributed.

Headnote for Detailed Soil Legend Symbols

The first capital letter is the initial one of the soil name. The lower case letter that follows separates mapping units having names that begin with the same letter, except that it does not separate sloping or eroded phases. The second capital letter indicates the class of slope. Symbols without a slope letter are used on mapping units which do not have slope as part of the name. A final number 2 indicates the soil is eroded.

SOIL COPFELATION OF LAPORTE COUNTY, INDIANA

Field symbols	unit name	 Publi- cation symbol	unit name
Ad, Ke	 Adrian muck	Ad	 Adrian muck, drained
EaA, Hk, NaA	Blcunt silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	BaA	Elount silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
Br, AgA	Perady sandy loam	Br	Bourbon sandy loam
BtA	Erems sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	BtA	Brems fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes
Cd, Wo			
ChB, PlB	Chelsea fine sand, 2 tc 6 percent slopes		Chelsea fine sand, 2 to 6 percent slopes
ChC, P1C			Chelsea fine sand, 6 to 12 percent slopes
ChD, PlD	Chelsea fine sand, 12 to 18 percent slopes	Chr	Chelsea fine sand, 12 to 18 percent slopes
Ck, Gr	Cchoctah sandy loam	Ck	i Cohoctah sandy loam
CCA, DoA	Coupee loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes		Coupee silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes
CoB, DoB	Coupee loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes		Coupee silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes
Du	Dune land	Du	Duneland
Ed	Edwards muck	Ed /	Edwards muck, drained
EsA	Elston loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Esk	[Elston loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes
Es3	Elston loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes		Elston loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes
Fh, Sh, Ee		74	 Fluvaquents, loary

Field symbols		Publi- Cation symbol	unit name
Gf, Gm	 Gilford fine sandy lcam	l Gf	 Gilford fine sandy loam
HaA, BxA	Hanna sandy loam, 0 tc 3 percent slopes	НаА	Hanna sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes
Hh, HlA, Mh	Histosols and Aquolls	Hh	Histosols and Aquolls
7t	Whitaker variant loam	Hk	Homer lcam
H 🖽	Houghton muck	l Hm	Houghton muck
∄o.	Houghton muck, I drained	Но	Houghton muck, drained
ăd	Martisco muck	Md	Martisco muck, drained
H m	Maumee loamy fine	Mm	Maumee loamy fine sand
Mn	Maumee variant loamy sand	Mn	Maumee variant loamy sand
ž g	Milford silty clay	Мр	Milford silty clay
ET22	Morley silt loam, 2 I to 6 percent slopes, I eroded		Morley silt loam, 2 1 to 6 percent slopes, 1 eroded
Mrc2, Mrc3	Morley silt loam, 6 1 to 12 percent 1 slopes, eroded	Mrc2	Morley silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded
MrD2, MrE2, MrD3, MrE3	Morley silt loam, 12 tc 18 percent slopes, eroded		Morley silt loam, 12 1 to 18 percent slopes, eroded
V a	<pre>! !Valparaiso loamy fine ! sand</pre>		Morocco loamy fine sand
MZ	Muskego muck	l J Mz	Muskego muck, drained
Nf	Newton loamy fine	Nf 1	 Newton loamy fine sand

Field symbols	unit name	 Publi- cation symbol	unit name
CaC		OaC/	Oakville fine sand, 4 to 12 percent slopes
OaE, OaF	Gakville fine sand, 12 to 25 percent slopes		Oakville fine sand, 12 to 25 percent 1 slopes
Pa	¡Falms muck	Pa	Palms muck, sandy substratum
Pe	Pewamo silty clay	Pe	Pewamo silty clay
Ph, Ar	Finhook loam	Ph	Pinhook loam
Qu	Quinn loam	Qu /	Quinn loam
RIA	Riddles loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes		Riddles loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes
E182, RaB2	Ricdles loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded		Riddles loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded
F1C2, RaC2	Ricdles loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded		Riddles loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded
F1E2	Riddles loam, 12 to 1 18 percent slopes, 1 eroded		Riddles loam, 12 to 18 percent slopes, eroded
PlF, R1F2	Riddles loam, 25 to 45 percent slopes	RIE	Riddles loam, 25 to 45 percent slopes
Sa		Sa/	Saugatuck-Pipestone complex
Sb, Re, Rm		Sb	Sebewa loam, shaly sand substratum
Er	Rimer loamy fine sand	SeA	Selfridge loamy fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes

Field symbols		Publi- Cation Symbol	unit name
SeB, SeC	 Seward loamy fine sand, 2 to 6 percent slopes		Selfridge loamy fine sand, 2 to 6 percent slopes
	Sloan variant silty clay loam	So -	Suman silty clay loam
	Tracy sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	TCA	Tracy sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes
TcB2	Tracy sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	TCE	Tracy sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes
	Tracy sandy loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	TCC2	Tracy sandy loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded
	Tracy sandy loam, 12 to 18 percent slopes, eroded		Tracy sandy loam, 12 to 18 percent slopes, eroded
TCF, TcF2	Tracy sandy loam, 25 to 45 percent slopes		Tracy sandy loam, 25 to 45 percent slopes
Tr	Troxel loam	Tr	Troxel silt loam
	Tyrer loamy sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes		Tyner loamy sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes
Ua, G.P., C.F.,		Ua /	Udorthents, loamy
SP, Ma, DP Uc, UcA	Urtan land-Coupee ccmplex	Uc	Urban land-Coupee complex
Uo, Ub	Urtan land-Oakville ccmplex	UoC	Urban land-Oakville complex, 1 to 10 percent slopes
υν	 Urtan land-Valparajso ccmplex	Uv	Urban land-Morocco complex
¥а	Wallkill silt loam	Wa	 Wallkill silt loam
Яe	 Warners loam	We	Marners silt loam
Wh	 Washtenaw silt lcam	Wh /	 Washtenaw silt loam

La Porte County, Indiana

Series established by this correlation:

None

Series dropped or made inactive:

None

Join Statement:

Soil survey of La Porte County, Indiana, joins the modern published soil survey of St. Joseph County, Indiana, on the east; the recently completed soil survey of Porter County, Indiana, on the west; the nearly completed soil survey of Berrien County, Michigan, on the north; and the project soil survey of Starke County, Indiana, on the south.

A more detailed explanation of all discrepancies in the join of the detailed soil maps and the general soil map with the four adjoining counties is on file at the PSC office and at the Indiana State Office. The differences are reasonable, and the counties are satisfactorily joined to the La Porte County soil survey. The lines on the general soil maps join with the exception of St. Joseph County where there are some acceptable differences. Two small soil association areas in St. Joseph County were not recognized in La Porte County because of size and resulting clutter on the map. Some of the soil names in the associations are different because of different proportions of components in map units, recognition of new series previously not separated in previous surveys, differences in composition of soils within different survey areas and, in St. Joseph County, a slight change in the concept of a few soil series.

The lines on the detailed soil maps join and similar series join, although a few areas have different names. These differences are the result of knowledge learned through further study of the soils, recognition of new series not previously separated in soil surveys, slight changes in the definitions of a few soil series, and the inclusion of small amounts of some soils with similar soils in one survey area which were separated in other surveys because of larger extent.

It has been certified that soil mapping was completed in June 1978.

Soil survey interpretations have been coordinated, and those being used in this manuscript are in agreement with the latest information on the SCS-SOILS-5 forms.

Locations of the typical pedon descriptions are in soil areas using those reference names, and the legal descriptions are correct.

Verification of Exact Cooperator Names:

The state soil scientist has certified that the following statements for the front cover and the third paragraph of the box inside the front cover shall read as follows for this soil survey: La Porte County, Indiana

A. Outside front cover:

United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service in cooperation with Purdue University Agricultural Experiment Station

B. Inside front cover:

This survey was made cooperatively by the Soil Conservation Service, Purdue University Agricultural Experiment Station, and the Soil and Water Conservation Committee, Indiana Department of Natural Resources. It is part of the technical assistance furnished to the La Porte County Soil and Water Conservation District. Financial assistance was made available by the board of county commissioners of La Porte County.

Disposition of Field Sheets:

The original field sheets have been transferred to halftone positive mylars of the atlas sheets by a correlated legend. Blueline copies of these mylars have been made for fire protection. Overlays have been completed for all of the atlas sheets except for adding stickons for the symbols. Map finishing will be completed after approval of the final correlation. Halftone positive mylars are considered as the field sheets of this survey.

Prior Soil Survey Publications:

There was a prior soil survey for La Porte County published in 1944 which should be listed as a reference citation and noted in the introduction of this soil survey. An example of the way this might be done follows: "The first soil survey of La Porte County was published in 1944 (reference citation). This survey updates the first survey and provides additional information and larger maps that show soils in greater detail."

Instructions for Map Compilation:

As previously noted, the original field sheets have already been compiled on halftone positive mylars and overlays have been prepared. Therefore, the attached SCS-SOILS-37A form furnished a record of the "conventional and special symbol legend" that is shown as the legend on the published soil survey.

The following ad hoc spot symbols will not be shown on the published soil survey:

Clay loam spot (<3 acres in size)

Delete

Mucky loam spot (10 acres or less in size)

Delete

La Porte Co. SYMBOLS LEGEND

Doie Dec. 1,1977

DESCRIPTION SYM	BOL	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	. DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL
CULTURAL FEATURES		CULTURAL FEATUR	ES (cont.)	SPECIAL SYMBOLS : SOIL SURVEY	FOR
BOUNDARIES		MISCELLANEOUS CULTURAL FEATUR	RES	SOIL DELINEATIONS AND SOIL SYMB	OL:
National, state, or province		Farmsteed, house (omit in urban area		ESCARPMENTS	CoA Fo82
County or parish		Church	1 1	_	
Minor civil division		School			
Reservation (national forest or park, state forest or park, and large airport)				SHORT STEEP SLOPE	
				GULLY	***************************************
Field sheet reachline & neattine					
AD HOC BOUNDARY (Isbel)			-	MISCELLANEOUS	
Smelt airport, airfield, park, pitfield,				8) owner	0
cemalery, or flood pool					()
	`'	WATER FEATURES			
STATE COORDINATE TICK 1 890 000 FEET		DRAINAGE		Gravelly spot	\ /
LAND DIVISION CORNERS (sections and land grants)	, !	Perennial, double line			
ROADS				and the same of th	1 1 1 1 1
		Perennial, single, fine		Ministration and the second	
Divided (median shown if scale permits)		Intermittent:			
County, tarm or ranch		Drainage end			
	į	_		111 1122	
	1	Canals or disches			
	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4	Sendy spot	98
Interstate	56	Drainage and/or irrigation	1	Severely eroded spot	(+ / mg
Federal	287				
State	<u></u>				
1.3.0	30		3	/	
		LAKES, PONDS AND RESERVOIRS		RECOMMENDED AD HOC SOIL SYMBO	٠
RAILROAD		Perennial	water_		
. ,					~
	- :				- /- \
		MISCELLANEOUS WATER FEATURES		Mari Spot 3 ac or le	
	-		()	Muck Spot Bac or le	s <u>S</u>] ⊕
LEVEES		Marsh or swamp	/ -		1 - 1
Without road	1	SPINS	a	Sanitary Lanafill 20 a	less 4
	1	_	TSeol	Sanitary Landfill 20	150274
	1	- x/A	wer sport		
Time .	The same of the sa	hi M	Jb	and indar	e on
DAMS		Mary	1	April 1 11/1	6
		XI W	map	change 70 =	- 6
	1	18	Approved:	February 12, 1979	
Medium or small	<u>—</u>	CVI	,		
-				-/-	
PITS			17.70	week to the	
		· ·			<u> </u>
Gravet pil	*		Maurice Sto		
			Head, Soils		
		-	Midwest TSC		
		. 8			

CONVERSION LEGEND RELATING FIELD MAP SYMBOLS TO PUBLICATION SYMBOLS

Field Symbol	Publication Symbol	Field Symbol	Publication Symbol
Ad	Ad	Ма	Ua
AgA Ar	Br	Md	Md
BaA	Ph	· Mh	Hh
Br	BaA	Mm	Mm
ы	Br	Mn	Mn
BtA	BtA	Мq	Mp
BxA	HaA	MrB2	MrB2
Cd	Cd	MrC2	MrC2
C.F.	Ua	MrC3	MrC2
ChB	ChB	MrD2	MrD2
ChC	ChC	MrD3	MrD2
ChD	ChD	MrE2	MrD2
Ck	Ck	MrE3	MrD2
CoA	CoA	Mz	Mz
CoB	CoB	NaA	BaA
DoA	CoA	Nf	Nf
DoB	СоВ	OaC	OaC
D.P.	Ua	OaE	OaE
Du	Du	OaF	0aE
Ed	Ed	Pa	Pa
Ee	Fh	Pe	Pe
EsA	EsA	Ph	. Ph
EsB	EsB	PlB	ChB
Fh	Fh	PlC	ChC
Gf	Gf	PlD	ChD
	01	1117	CID
Gm	Gf	Qц	Qu
G.P.	Ua	RaB2	R1B2
Gr	Ck	RaC2	R1C2
HaA	HaA	Re	Sb
Hh	Hh	RlA	RlA
Hk	BaA	R1B2	R1B2
HlA	Hh	R1C2	R1C2
Hm	Hm	R1D2	R1D2
Но	Ho	RIF	RIF
Ke	Ad	R1F2	RIF
	•••	1111 4	WIL

La Porte County, Indiana

Field	Publication	Field	Publication
Symbol	Symbol	Symbol	Symbol
Rm	Sb	Tr	Tr
Rr	SeA	TyA	TyA
Sa	Sa	Ua	Ua
Sb	Sb	UЪ	UoC
SeB	SeB	Uc	Uc
SeC	SeB	ŬсА	Uc
Sh	Fh	Uo	UoC
So	So	Uv	Uv
S.P.	Ua	Va	Mx
TcA	TcA	Wa	Wa
TcB2	ТсВ	We	We
TcC2	TcC2	Wh	Wh
TcD2	TcD2	Wo	Cd
TcF	TcF	Wt	Hk
TcF2	TcF .		

CLASSIFICATION OF PEDONS SAMPLED FOR LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Purdue University Soil Characterization Laboratory

Sampled As	Sample Number	Correlated As
Ardmore Ardmore Rensselaer Rensselaer Coupee Coupee Coupee Chelsea Nappanee Nappanee Nappanee Seward Saugatuck Coupee	\$72IN46-1-(1-8*) \$72IN46-2-(1-6*) \$72IN46-3-(1-7*) \$72IN46-4-(1-6*) \$73IN46-1-(1-6*) \$73IN46-2-(1-7*) \$74IN91-1-(1-7*) \$74IN91-3-(1-7*) \$74IN91-4-(1-5*) \$74IN91-5-(1-6*) \$74IN91-6-(1-6*) \$76IN91-10-(1-6*)	Pinhook taxadjunct Pinhook Sebewa inclusion Gilford Elston taxadjunct Elston taxadjunct Coupee taxadjunct Chelsea inclusion Blount Blount Blount Selfridge inclusion Saugatuck Coupee taxadjunct
Pipestone	S76IN91-12-(1-5*)	Pipestone

National Soil Survey Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland

Tracy	S70IND46-1-(1-9*)	Tracy taxadjunct
Lydick	S70IND46-2-(1-8*)	Elston taxadjunct
Door	S70IND46-3-(1-7*)	Elston inclusion
Quinn	S70IND46-4-(1-9*)	Pinhook

National Soil Survey Laboratory, Lincoln, Nebraska

Hanna	77P0713-77P0720	Hanna inclusion $\frac{1}{}$
Muskego	S75IN91-1-(1-9*)	Muskego

 $[\]frac{1}{}$ The mineralogy at this site is siliceous marginal to mixed and the presence of an argillic horizon, as well as depth to its upper boundary, is difficult to determine. Consequently, a clear determination of Aquultic or Aquollic properties is difficult. Further study is needed to properly characterize the series concept and select a suitable pedon for the type location of the standard series description.

Indiana State Highway Soil Testing Laboratory

Coupee	S73IN46-1-(1-6*)	Elston taxadjunct
Coupee	S74IN91-1-(1-7*)	Coupee taxadjunct
Nappanee	S74IN91-4-(1-5*)	Blount
Nappanee	S74IN91-5-(1-6*)	Blount

Notes to Accompany Classifications and Correlations of the Soils of LaPorte County, Indiana

> by Robert I. Turner

ADRIAN SERIES

Adrian soils are more acid than the defined range of the Adrian series.

BLOUNT SERIES

Blount soils are in the least acid, least clayey parts of the range for the Blount series. In addition, they tend to be less gray in the subsoil than typical for the Blount series.

BREMS SERIES

The Brems soils are in the most acid part of the range of the series, and they are in the wettest part of the range for the series with gray mottles within depths of less than 30 inches below the surface.

CHEEKTOWAGA SERIES

This soil tends to be more alkaline in the lower portion of the sandy material and to have yellower hues throughout the series control section than allowed in the series definition.

COHOCTAH SERIES

Cohoctah soils are taxadjuncts to the Cohoctah series as the surface soil is more acid and they contain sand and loamy sand textures within depths of less than 30 inches and are dominated by brown colors in the C horizons which are outside the range of the series.

COUPEE SERIES

Some pedons included in mapping units of Coupee have a transitional layer that is too thick to meet the minimum definition that would allow us to place them in the contrasting textural family.

EDWARDS SERIES

Edwards soils are taxadjuncts to the Edwards series as the organic material is much more acid than the defined range for the Edwards series and the material identified as marl has a lower color value than defined in "Soil Taxonomy."

ELSTON SERIES

These soils are taxadjuncts to the Elston series as they have within depths of 26 inches B22t horizons of loamy sand, lack the B/A clay ratio necessary to define an argillic horizon, and probably are marginal to failing the base saturation requirements of Mollisols.

GILFORD SERIES

These soils are in the thickest part of the range in depth of sandy loam material allowed in a Gilford series and, from that standpoint, are marginal to the Lyles series as it is presently being defined. By definition, Gilford must have less than 40 inches of sandy loam or finer material while the Lyles must have greater than 40 inches of sandy loam or finer material.

HANNA SERIES

The type location for this soil at present is in this county. The soil needs further study as laboratory data recently obtained from this county places the soil in a siliceous family and indicates the argillic horizon is problematical. Indiana will give further study to characterizing this series during the next field season. A different type location will be selected if the present classification is continued.

HOMER SERIES

These soils are previously named a variant of the Whitaker series, but they seem to resemble the Homer series more in the interpretations that might be made for use and management. These soils are taxadjuncts to the Homer series because the transitional sandy loam horizon is too thick to meet the definition of contrasting textures. The most acid horizons in the solum are neutral, and they are moderately alkaline and contain free carbonates at depths of less than 30 inches.

HOUGHTON SERIES

These soils are more acid than typical for the Houghton series.

MAUMEE VARIANT SERIES

Some consideration was given to using the Tobico series for this unit but was discarded due to the browner colors in the C horizon of this soil and the domination by snail shell fragments in the lower part of the control section. The acreage is small, and the need for a new series is not evident.

MILFORD SERIES

Milford soils are in the least clayey part of the range in texture for the Milford series and are in the shallowest part of the range in solum thickness for the Milford series. Evidence of stratification was not noted in the solum, but the C horizon which in this soil starts at depths of 40 inches is stratified.

MORLEY SERIES

Morley soils are in the least clayey and least acid part of the range for the Morley series. In addition, they are shallower to gray mottles than are typical for the Morley series and would appear marginal to the Glynwood series.

MOROCCO SERIES

The Morocco soils in La Porte County have surface horizons which are in the darker end of the range allowed in the Morocco series.

La Porte County, Indiana

MUSKEGO SERIES

Muskego soils contain minimal thicknesses of organic material allowed in the range for the series. In addition, the surface tier contains more hemic material than defined for the Muskego series; and the coprogenous earth material is less acid and contains free carbonates within depths of less than 30 inches, which is not typical for the Muskego series.

NEWTON SERIES

Newton soils lack mottles within the lower part of the mollic epipedon or higher chroma mottles which indicate movement and segregation of iron in the horizons immediately below the mollic epipedon and, for these reasons, are taxadjuncts to the series. The morphology of the representative pedon as described fails the definition of Aquolls as noted in "Soil Taxonomy."

PALMS SERIES

These soils are slightly more acid than the defined range of the Palms series. In addition, they are underlaid at depths of less than 60 inches by sand textures, and we have named them as a sandy substratum phase of Palms.

PEWAMO SERIES

These soils appear to be in the least clayey part of the range for the Pewamo series, and it is questionable if they actually contain the clay increase necessary for an argillic horizon. In addition, these soils are shallower to moderately alkaline reaction and strong effervescence, which indicates the presence of free carbonates at shallower depths than defined for the series.

QUINN SERIES

Quinn soils have thinner B2t horizons and are shallower to loamy sand textures than typical for the Quinn series.

RIDDLES SERIES

With the expansion in the range of properties of the Riddles series, the differentia between it and the Hickory and Lindley series is not correct as stated in the various series descriptions. In Lindley soils the clay fraction is dominated by montmorrilonite, while in the Riddles series the clay fraction is more mixed or contains a higher content of illite. Hickory soils tend to be more acid and tend to have firmer consistence in the lower part of the solum and the C horizon. Riddles soils in this survey area contain reddish mottles throughout most of the B2 horizon, which is not typical for the Riddles series; however, we did not call it a taxadjunct on this count, but perhaps we should.

SAUGATUCK SERIES

Saugatuck soils are taxadjuncts to the Saugatuck series as they lack mottles in the A2 and in the ortstein horizons and thus fail the definitions of Aquods.

SEBEWA SERIES

A new series, Sedley, had been proposed; but after evaluating the factors, it was decided the situation could be adequately handled as a shaly sand substratum phase of Sebewa. In La Porte County, this soil has relatively soft gravel-size shale fragments in the underlying material and, consequently, the material is not a good source for gravel.

SELFRIDGE SERIES

Field map units Rr and SeB, previously named Rimer and Seward, respectively, were judged so close in terms of morphology, use, and interpretations that two series were not needed. In addition, the lower material in these two map units contain less than 35 percent clay and thus, was not within the range of the soils defined as Seward or Rimer. For that reason, it was decided to combine them all and rename them as Selfridge.

TROXEL SERIES

These soils are taxadjuncts to the Troxel series because they have more sand in the argillic horizon and contain loamy sand and sand textures at depths shallower than 60 inches. In these soils, because the argillic horizon is so deep, the upper 3 feet of material which is silt loam and dark colored is the most important from the aspect of the use and management of these soils. For that reason, we have gone along with the taxadjunct to the Troxel series.

TYNER SERIES

These soils in this county are in the least acid part of the range of the Tyner series. The Oakville series is a similar soil which contains less silt plus clay and is less acid than the Tyner series. The Tyner standard series description needs to be updated to correctly differentiate it from the Oakville series.

WALLKILL SERIES

These soils are taxadjuncts to the Wallkill series as they are fine-silty rather than fine-loamy, as defined for the Wallkill series. There does not seem to be any other similar series to place this soil with, so we have continued to consider it as a taxadjunct to the Wallkill series.

WARNERS SERIES

A small acreage of Warners has a thinner mollic epipedon than the range defined for the series and it also is slightly lighter colored.

WASHTENAW SERIES

This series is being updated and will be classified in the future as a Aeric Fluvaquents.

CLASSIFICATION OF THE SOILS

[An asterisk in the first column indicates a taxadjunct to the series. See notes for a description of those characteristics of this taxadjunct that are outside the range of the series]

Soil name	Family or higher taxonomic class
1	
Adrian	Sandy or sandy-skeletal, mixed, euic, mesic
	Terric Medisaprists
Aquolls.	Loamy, mixed, mesic Haplaquolls
Blount	Fine, illitic, mesic Aeric Ochraqualfs
Brady - Bourbon -	
Breas	Mixed, mesic Aquic Udipsamments
Cheektowaga	Sandy over clayey, mixed, mesic Typic
7	Haplaquolls
Chelsea	
*Cohoctah	
1	Haplaquolls
Coupee	Fine-loamy over sandy or sandy-skeletal, mixed,
i	mesic Ultic Hapludalfs
1	
1	
*Edwards	
*Elston	
Fluvaquents	Loamy, mixed, nonacid, mesic Fluvaquents
Gilford	
Eanra	
	Euic, mesic Medisaprists
*Eomer	Fine-loamy over sandy or sandy-skeletal, mixed,
	mesic Meric Ochraqualfs
Houghton	
Eartisco	
Hausee	
Maumee Variant	Mixed, mesic Mollic Psammaquents
Milford	Fine, mixed, mesic Typic Haplaquolls
Morley	· ,,,
Morocco	,
Muskego	Coprogenous, euic, mesic Limnic Medisaprists
*Newton	Sandy, mixed, mesic Typic Humaquepts
Oakville	Mixed, mesic Typic Udipsamments
Palms	Loamy, mixed, euic, mesic Terric Medisaprists

Scil name !	Family or higher taxonomic class
Pewamo	Fine, mixed, mesic Typic Argiaquolls
Pinhock	
Pipestone	
	Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Ochraqualfs
Eiddles	Fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludalfs
*Saugatuck!	
Sebewa	
1	mesic Typic Argiaquolls
Selfridge	
Suman	
ì	mesic Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls
	Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Ultic Hapludalfs
	Fine-silty, mixed, mesic Typic Argiudolls
	Mixed, mesic Typic Udipsamments
	Loamy, mixed, nonacid, mesic Udorthents
*Wallkill	Fine-loamy, mixed, nonacid, mesic Thapto-Histic
1	Fluvaquents
Warners	Fine-silty, carbonatic, mesic Fluvaquentic
	Haplaquolls
Washtenaw	
	Fluvaquents